AACPS START & DISMISSAL TIMES FORMAL SURVEY RESULTS

February 15, 2016 9:45 AM






STAKEHOLDER DEMOGRAPHICS

Total Unique Responses: 14,544


Stakeholder Groups	# Respondents	% Respondents
Student	2,209	12%
Employee	3,314	18%
Parent/Grandparent	10,857	60%
Other Community Member	1,775	10%
After-school activity/ sports provider	558	3%
Child Care Provider	309	2%
AAC Resident without AACPS Children	630	3%
Other	278	2%

GRADE LEVELS REPRESENTED



When completing the survey, respondents were asked to select the stakeholder group(s) they represent as well as their grade level(s) of interest/involvement. In this overview, responses are counted once for each stakeholder group and/or grade level selected.


STAKEHOLDER DEMOGRAPHICS




^{*}Respondents were asked to select the census-designated area in which they live.


OVERALL RESPONSE

Total Responses: 14,544




OVERALL RESPONSE BY STAKEHOLDER





OVERALL RESPONSE BY GRADE LEVEL



REASONS BEHIND RESPONSE

When completing the survey, each respondent was asked to indicate the reason(s) for why they did or did not support the proposed model for change in school start and dismissal times in 2017-2018.

I support the proposed model


Reason	% of Responses
Improved student mental health	20%
Improved student physical health	17%
Increased student academic achievement	17%
Increased student safety (traveling in daylight to school)	15%
Improved student school attendance	12%
Decreased traffic accidents involving teen drivers	9%
Decreased middle school unsupervised time after school	7%
Decreased need for morning child care	4%

I do not support the proposed model

Reason	% of Responses
Current school start & dismissal times are fine for my family/stakeholder group	19%
Increased after-school activity/ athletic challenges	15%
Decreased family time in the evenings	15%
Increased need for before/after school child care—limited availability	12%
Increased need for before/after school child care—increased cost	11%
Decreased student safety (traveling in darkness to or from school)	10%
Increased student employment challenges	9%
Increased transportation concerns/ challenges for Special Centers & Magnet Programs	8%

STUDENT RESPONSE


Student Overall Response



- I support the proposed model
- I do not support the proposed model

Total Students: 2,209


Student Response by Grade Level



High School: 1,666 Middle School: 783 Elementary School: 719

EMPLOYEE RESPONSE


Overall Employee Response



- I support the proposed model
- I do not support the proposed model

Total Employees: 3,314

Employee Response by Grade Level




■I support the proposed model ■I do not support the proposed model

High School: 1,680 Middle School: 1,455 Elementary School: 2,054



PARENT/GRANDPARENT RESPONSE


Ovearll Parent/Grandparent Response



- I support the proposed model
- I do not support the proposed model

Total Parents/Grandparents: 10,857

Parent/Grandparent Response by Grade Level



■I do not support the proposed model

High School: 6,031 Middle School: 5,522 Elementary School: 7,038



COMMUNITY MEMBER RESPONSE

Overall Community Member Response



■I support the proposed model ■I do not support the proposed model


After-school Activities/Athletics Providers: 558

Child Care Providers: 309

AAC Residents without children in AACPS: 630

Other: 278

Community Member Response by Grade Level



■I support the proposed model

■I do not support the proposed model

High School: 1.105 Middle School: 943

Elementary School: 1,249

